

Original Article / Özgün Araştırma

Independent Predictors of Mortality in ICU Patients with COVID-19

Mehmet Özel^{D1}, Songül Araç^D¹, Hasan Akkoç^D², Eşref Araç^D³

1 Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Health Sciences, Diyarbakır Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey

2 Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Dicle University, Diyarbakır, Turkey

3 Dicle University, Faculty Of Medicine, Department Of Internal Medical Sciences, Diyarbakır, Turkey

Received: 16.10.2023; Revised: 05.12.2023; Accepted: 08.12.2023

Abstract

Objective: Early identification of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients at high mortality risk can improve patient care and prevent deaths. To identify prognostic predictors that increase COVID-19 patient mortality risk in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU).

Methods: Retrospective analysis of clinical characteristics and serological biomarkers of ICU-COVID-19 patients was performed in a tertiary hospital from 24 March 2020 to 20 December 2020. Analysis was conducted on two groups of study participants: survivors and deceased. Multivariate logistic regression was used to determine mortality risk. In order to determine prognostic predictors, the ANOVA test was used to compare the data of serological biomarkers on the day of patients' admission to the ICU and on the 5th day of follow-up.

Results: A total of 335 patients (54.65%) were in the deceased group, and 278 (45.35%) were in the survivors group. A statistically significant difference was found between the deceased and survivor groups regarding mean age (p<0.001). According to multivariate analyses of patients' data, age, oxygen saturation, direct bilirubin, and ionized calcium were independent predictors of mortality (p<0.05). According to this analysis, age (OR=1.035, p=0.002, 95%CI 1.013-1.058), peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO2) (OR=0.912, p<0.001, 95%CI 0.873-0.953), direct bilirubin (OR=6.821, p=0.024, 95%CI 0.282-36.285), ionized calcium (OR=30.524, p=0.035, 95%CI 1.262-738.34) was found that it increased the risk of mortality. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, it was found that gender, age, and comorbidities had the highest odds ratios in terms of mortality.

Conclusion: The study revealed that advanced age, low SpO2, high direct bilirubin, and elevated ionized calcium levels were independent predictors of mortality for COVID-19 patients in the ICU.

Keywords: COVID-19, Intensive Care Unit, Mortality, Prognostic Predictors

DOI: 10.5798/dicletip.1411504

Correspondence / Yazışma Adresi: Mehmet Özel, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Health Sciences, Diyarbakır Gazi Yasargil Training and Research Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey e-mail: drmehmetozel@yahoo.com.tr

Yoğun Bakım Ünitesinde Yatan COVID-19 Hastalarının Bağımsız Mortalite Belirleyicileri

Öz

Amaç: Yüksek mortalite riskine sahip Yeni Koronavirüs Hastalığı (COVID-19) hastalarının erken teşhis edilmesi, hasta bakımını artırabilir ve ölümleri önleyebilir. Bu çalışma yoğun bakım ünitesi (YBÜ)ndeki COVID-19 hastalarının mortalite riskini artıran prognostik belirleyicileri belirlemeyi amaçlamıştır.

Yöntemler: YBÜ'de COVID-19 hastalarının klinik özelliklerinin ve serolojik belirteçlerinin retrospektif analizi, 24 Mart 2020'den 20 Aralık 2020'ye kadar olan dönemde bir üçüncü basamak hastanede gerçekleştirildi. Hastaların analizi sağ kalanlar ve ölenler olmak üzere iki grupa ayrılarak yapıldı. Mortalite riskini belirlemek için çok değişkenli lojistik regresyon kullanıldı. Prognostik belirleyicileri saptamak amacıyla, ANOVA testi kullanılarak hastaların YBÜ'ye kabul ve takibin 5. günündeki serolojik belirteç verileri karşılaştırıldı.

Bulgular: Toplam 335 hasta (%54,65) ölen grup içindeyken, 278 hasta (%45,35) sağ kalanlar grubundaydı. Ortalama yaş açısından ölenler ve sağ kalanlar grupları arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir fark bulundu (p<0.001). Hastaların verilerine yönelik çok değişkenli lojistik regresyon analizlere göre yaş, periferik kapiller oksijen saturasyonu (SpO2), total bilirubin ve iyonize kalsiyum mortalitenin bağımsız belirleyicileri olarak saptandı (p<0.05). Bu analize göre yaş (OR=1.035, p=0.002, %95 CI 1.013-1.058), Spo2 (OR=0.912, p<0.001, %95 CI 0.873-0.953), total bilirubin (OR=6.821, p=0.024, %95 CI 0.282-36.285), iyonize kalsiyum (OR=30.524, p=0.035, %95 CI 1.262-738.34) mortalite riskini artırdığı bulundu. Çok değişkenli lojistik regresyon analizinde, cinsiyet, yaş ve komorbiditelerin, mortalite açısından en yüksek odds oranlarına sahip olduğu bulundu.

Sonuç: Çalışma, COVID-19 hastalarında ileri yaşın, düşük SpO2, yüksek total bilirubin ve yüksek iyonize kalsiyum seviyelerinin YBÜ'de mortalite için bağımsız belirleyiciler olduğunu ortaya koymuştur.

Anahtar kelimeler: COVID-19, Yoğun Bakım Ünitesi, Mortalite, Prognostik Belirleyiciler.

INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, 771 million cases and 6,9 million deaths have been reported of COVID-19 according to World Health Organization (WHO) data¹. Additionally, WHO classified EG.5, known as "Eris," as an "intriguing variant," signifying the need for closer monitoring than other COVID-19 subvariants due to mutations that might enhance its infectivity or severity². Even though COVID-19 is associated with a high mortality rate, the clinical and laboratory determinants of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients remain controversial³. Identifying mortality risk predictors early in critical COVID-19 patients can improve management and prevent mortality.

COVID-19 patients' clinical characteristics, serologic biomarkers, and risk factors can be used to determine their severity. Studies have identified the main factors associated with COVID-19 fatalities, such as older age, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity and CBC, platelet count, lymphocyte count, IL-6, and serum ferritin, lower albumin levels, increased D-dimer, ferritin, and serum troponin levels⁴⁻⁷. It is possible to estimate the risk of death during hospitalization in COVID-19 patients using demographic, clinical, and laboratory parameters mentioned in the literature. Furthermore, determining the parameters that can be used as independent predictors can be vital to recognizing fatality risks early.

COVID-19 patients with severe symptoms need to be admitted to an intensive care unit, and death rates are very high⁸. The fatality rate from COVID-19 can be reduced if effective and rapid treatment efforts are made early after admission to the ICU for COVID-19 patients at high risk of mortality. The best clinical and laboratory parameters to predict early mortality in COVID-19 patients during ICU admission remain unclear. We aimed to investigate the prognostic predictors that increase the mortality risk of ICU COVID-19 patients.

METHODS

A retrospective study in ICU COVID-19 patients was conducted between 24 March 2020 and 20 December 2020. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical board of a tertiary hospital (Date: 31 December 2021, Decision No: 967). The study recruited 613 COVID-19 patients older than 18 in the ICU of a tertiary hospital. The diagnosis of COVID-19was confirmed by real-time (RT)- polymerase chain reaction(PCR) assay for SARS-CoV-2 from nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs. COVID-19 patients treated and monitored for at least five days after admission to the ICU were included in this study. In addition to the duration of hospitalization mentioned above, electronic medical records were evaluated for COVID-19 ICU patients. This study excluded ICU patients with negative RT-PCR results.

A comparison of survivors versus deceased COVID-19 patients was conducted by dividing the patients into two groups. Individuals displaying clinical symptoms of pneumonia such as fever, cough, sputum production, and dyspnea, combined with at least one of the following criteria, were categorized as ICU patients: a respiratory rate exceeding 30 breaths per minute, severe respiratory distress, SpO2 below 90% when breathing room air, and the presence of potential COVID-19 pneumonia indicators on CT scans. On admission ICU of all patients, demographic-clinical characteristics (risk factors, vital parameters), ordinary laboratory test results with the inclusion of complete blood count (CBC) along with differentiation, , creatinine, cardiac troponin I, dehydrogenase lactate (LDH), aminotransferases (AST and ALT), blood urea nitrogen, albumin, total bilirubin (Tbil), direct bilirubin (Dbil),D-dimer, ferritin, procalcitonin, C-Reactive Protein (CRP), International

normalized ratio (INR), and blood gas results of patients were recorded.

Statistical Analysis

The arithmetic mean and standard deviation were calculated for numerical data, while frequency and percentage were used for categorical data. In order to perform analysis, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used. Analysis of categorical data was conducted using the Chi-square test. Shapiro-Wilks tests were performed on the numerical data to determine their conformity to the normal distribution. The Mann-Whitney U test was utilized in data analysis that did not comply with the normal distribution, and the 95% Confidence Interval (CI) min-max values of these data were shown in parentheses. In univariate logistic regression analyses, clinical patient risk factors were recorded that were noteworthy in standard analyses. A 95% CI was included with the odds ratios (ORs). A logistic regression analysis was used to count variables that continued to be statistically significant after univariate analysis. Mortality risk was determined by multivariate logistic regression. Mortality risk was defined by multivariate logistic regression. To reveal the prognosis predictors; the Anova test was used with measurements comparing repeated the biochemical data of day 1 and day 5. In this analysis, 1st and 5th-day measurements were taken as within-subjects, and two different (survivors vs deceased) patient groups were taken as between-subjects. A p-value below 0.05 was examined remarkably for whole analyses.

RESULTS

This study included a total of 613 patients, consisting of 270 women and 343 men. Among all study patients, two groups were formed survivors [278 (45,35%) patients] and deceased [335(54.65%) patients]. A total of 613

patients were aged 68 ± 15 (95 CI: 66,93-69,59%) years. A statistically significant difference in mean age was found between the deceased group and the survivors' group (p<0.001). Comorbidities such as diabetes were statistically significantly higher in the deceased group than in the survivors (p= 0.037). Demographic data, relevant comorbidities, and laboratory are in Table I.

Table I: Demographic data, presence of comorbidities, vital parametres and laboratory parameters of all groups

| | All patients (n:613) | Survivor (n:278) | Deceased (n:335) | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| | n (%) Mean±SD (%95 CI min-max) | n (%) Mean±SD (%95 CI min-max) | n (%) Mean±SD (%95 CI min-max) | р | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 270 (44) | 124 (44.6) | 146 (43.6) | 0.838 | |
| Male | 343 (56) | 154 (55.4) | 189 (56.4) | | |
| Age (year) | 68.26±14.74 (66.93-69.59) | 6164±1631 (58.91-64.38) | 7101±1311 (69.60-72.42) | 0 | |
| Underlying Comorbidities | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Hypertension | 319 (52) | 130 (46.8) | 189 (56.4) | 0.055 | |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 178 (29) | 66 (23.7) | 112 (33.4) | 0.037 | |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease- asthma | 82 (13.4) | 42 (15.1) | 40 (11.9) | 0.431 | |
| Coronary Artery Disease/ Heart Failure | 107 (17.5) | 42 (15.1) | 65 (19.4) | 0.269 | |
| Oxygen Saturation | 85.44±9.63 (84.57-86.31) | 89.87±5.49 (88.94-90.79) | 83.6±10.36 (82.48-84.71) | 0 | |
| White Blood Cell (4.000- 10.000/mm3) | 8.29±5.24 (8.82-9.76) | 8.30±4.72 (7.51-9.09) | 9.7±5.4 (9.12-10.28) | 0.004 | |
| Neutrophil(2.000- 7.000/mm3) | 7.7±4.74 (7.27-8.12) | 6.56±3.8 (5.92-7.2) | 8.17±5 (7.63-8.71) | 0.001 | |
| Lymphocytes (800-4000/mm3) | 1.15±1.89 (0.98-1.32) | 1.32±2.53 (0.89-1.74) | 1.08±1.54 (0.92-1.25) | 0.005 | |
| Monocytes | 0.4±0.24 (0.38-0.42) | 0.4±0.24 (0.35-0.44) | 0.4±0.24 (0.38-0.43) | 0.695 | |
| Eosinophil | 0.01±0.05 (0.002-0.01) | 0.02±0.05 (0.01-0.03) | 0.01±0.05 (0.008-0.02) | 0.002 | |
| Basophil | 0.02±0.03 (0.02-0.02) | 0.01±0.01 (0.01-0.02) | 0.02±0.03 (0.02-0.03) | 0.014 | |
| Hemoglobin (11-16 gr/dl) | 13.01±2.05 (12.83-13.2) | 13.30±2.15 (12.94-13.66) | 12.89±2 (12.68-13.11) | 0.014 | |
| Hematocrit (37-54 %) | 40.98±6.1 (40.43-41.53) | 41.7±6.22 (40.65-42.74) | 40.68±6.04 (40.03-41.33) | 0.032 | |
| MCV | 88.76±7.49 (88.08-89.43) | 87.4±6.34 (86.34-88.46) | 89.32±7.85 (88.47-90.16) | 0.008 | |
| Platelet (150.000- 450.000/mm3) | 209.13±84.07 (201.54-216.72) | 218.75±80.68 (205.22-232.28) | 205.14±85.24 (195.97-214.3) | 0.059 | |
| RDW CW | 14.3±1.78 (14.14-14.46) | 13.97±1.68 (13.69-14.25) | 14.44±1.8 (14.24-14.63) | 0 | |
| RDW SD | 47.15±6.08 (46.60-47.7) | 45.43±5.69 (44.48-46.39) | 47.87±6.1 (47.21-48.52) | 0 | |
| Albumin (34-48 g/L) | 30.44±5.25 (29.96-30.91) | 31.92±5.62 (30.98-32.87) | 29.82±4.96 (29.29-30.36) | 0 | |
| ALT (0-41 U/L) | 47.45±110.61 (37.46-57.44) | 42.05±57.6 (32.39-51.71) | 49.7±126.29 (36.1-63.29) | 0.899 | |

| AST (0-40 U/L) | 78.94±249.7 (56.38-101.5) | 60.46±145.26 (36.10-84.82) | 86.63±281.83 (56.29-116.97) | 0.013 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| C-reactive protein (0-5 mg/L) | 126.84±82.98 (119.33-134.34) | 109.53±84.3 (95.39-123.67) | 134.06±81.46 (125.28-142.84) | 0.001 |
| Total Calcium (8.8- 10.6 mg/dl) | 8.79±0.63 (8.73-8.84) | 8.8±0.59 (8.7-8.9) | 8.78±0.65 (8.71-8.85) | 0.68 |
| E-GFR | 58.31±28.34 (55.72-60.90) | 71.64±27.96 (66.95-76.33) | 52.59±26.56 (49.69-55.49) | 0 |
| Glucose | 169.75±92.85 (161.36-178.14) | 158.25±87.32 (143.61-172.9) | 174.53±94.78 (164.33-184.74) | 0.008 |
| Chlorine (98-107 mmol/l) | 103.13±6.03 (102.59-103.68) | 102.53±5.31 (101.64-103.43) | 103.38±6.3 (102.7-104.06) | 0.149 |
| Creatinine (0.72- 1.25 mg/dL) | 1.56±1.57 (1.41-1.7) | 1.14±0.93 (0.98-1.29) | 1.73±1.74 (1.54-1.92) | 0 |
| Creatine Kinase | 467.46±1967.47 (289.32-645.60) | 401.25±2396.02 (0.58-803.1) | 495.18±1760.79 (305.08-685.28) | 0 |
| Lactate Dehydrogenase (135-225 U/l) | 470.4±417.45 (432.61-508.2) | 388.13±210.08 (352.9-423.3) | 504.85±474.35 (453.64-556.06) | 0 |
| Potassium (3.5-5.1 mmol/L) | 4.24-0.71 (4.17-4.30) | 4.1±0.57 (4-4.19) | 4.29±0.75 (4.21-4.38) | 0.013 |
| Sodium (134-146 mEq/L) | 136.88±6.074 (136.33-137.43) | 136.69±4.96 (135.85-137.52) | 136.97±6.48 (136.27-137.66) | 0.672 |
| Indirect Bilirubin | 0.31±0.21 (0.29-0.33) | 0.3±0.18 (0.27-0.33) | 0.31±0.22 0.29-0.33) | 0.765 |
| Direct Bilirubin (0- 0.3mg/dL) | 0.38±0.33 (0.35-0.41) | 0,29±0,2 (0,25-0,32) | 0.41±0.36 (0.37-0.45) | 0 |
| Total Bilirubin | 0.68±0.49 (0.63-0.72) | 0,63±0,38 (0,56-0,69) | 0.7±0.53 (0.64-0.76) | 0.081 |
| Urea (16-48mg/dl) | 59.85±43.97 (55.87-63.82) | 43.02±31.37 (37.75-48.28) | 66.85±46.53 (61.84-71.86) | 0 |
| INR | 1.25±0.23 (1.22-1.27) | 1.23±0.2 (1.19-1.26) | 1.26±0.24 (1.23-1.29) | 0.268 |
| D Dimer(0-243 ng/ml) | 1375.8±4274.2 (980.7-1770.9) | 535.06±743.41 (408.51-661.61) | 1733.9±5040.7 (1176.9-2290.9) | 0 |
| Procalcitonin | 2.51±8.88 (1,47-3,55) | 0.90±2.53 (0.33-1.48) | 3.12±10.24 (1.70-4.53) | 0 |
| Troponin (0-0.16 ng/ml) | 0.32±1.35 (0.19-0.44) | 0.15±0.32 (0.09-0.2) | 0.39±1.6 (0.21-0.57) | 0 |
| Ferritin | 946.25±2504.36 (712.67-1179.8) | 744.10±775.21 (609.04-879.15) | 1029.04±2929.14 (704.3-1353.7) | 0.063 |
| | | | | |
| рН | 7.38±0.16 (7.36-7.39) | 7.4±0.06 (7.39-7.41) | 7,36±0,18 (7,34-7,38) | 0.01 |
| Lactate | 2.49±1.92 (2.31-2.67) | 2.01±0.9 (1.85-2.16) | 2.69±2,17 (2.45-2.93) | 0.001 |
| Bicarbonate(HCO3 ACT) | 21.95±3.68 (21.61-22.29) | 23.39±3.31 (22.82-23.96) | 21.36±3.66 (20.96-21.76) | 0 |
| Base Exercise | -2.36±4.68 (-2.79-1.92) | -0.65±3.96 (-1.34-0.02) | -3.05±4.78 (-3.58-2.53) | 0 |
| IonizedCalcium(Ca2+)(1.15-1.35mmol/lt) | 1.11±0.09 (1.10-1.12) | 1.09±0.81 (1.08-1.11) | 1.12±0.1 (1.11-1.13) | 0.015 |

Categorical data are expressed as n (%) and numerical data as Mean±SD (95% CI min-max).

MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume, E-GFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, RDW CW: Red Cell Distribution Width - Coefficient of Variation, RDW SD: Red Cell Distribution Width - Standard Deviation , INR: International Normalized Ratio, ALT Alanine AminotransferaseAST:Aspartate Aminotransferase

Mortality was associated with age, diabetes, low oxygen saturation, and many hematological and biochemical parameters. In multivariate analyses of these data, age, oxygen saturation, Dbil, and ionized calcium were independent predictors of mortality (p<0.05) (Table I).Age, gender, presence of comorbidity, oxygen saturation value at admission ICU, CBC, and all biochemistry and blood parameters were evaluated with Univariate analysis. In Table I, only statistically significant (p<0.05) parameters were listed. Significant parameters were included in the Multivariate analysis in the second step. Multivariable logistic regression was achieved by adjusting sex, age, and admissible comorbidities with the highest individual OR for mortality. According to the Multivariable Logistic Regression, age (OR=1.035, p=0.002, 95%CI 1.013-1.058), SpO2; (OR=0.912, p<0.001, 95%CI 0.873-0.953), Dbil (OR=6.821, p=0.024, 95%CI 0.282-36.285), Ionized calcium (OR=30.524, p=0.035, 95%CI 1.262-738.34) were found that parameters increased the risk of mortality (OR: odds ratio) (Table II).

Table II: Univariate and multivariatelogistic regression analyses for predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19admitted to ICU

| | | ariate lysis | | | | variate lysis | | |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|---------|----------|------------------|--------|----------|
| | | | 95% CI | | | | 95% CI | |
| Variable | p values | OR | Lower | Upper | p values | OR | Lower | Upper |
| Age | 0 | 1.045 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 0.002 | 1.035 | 1.013 | 1.058 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 0.038 | 1.613 | 1.027 | 2.535 | 0.07 | 1.76 | 0.955 | 3.246 |
| Oxygen Saturation | 0 | 0.9 | 0.87 | 0.931 | 0 | 0.912 | 0.873 | 0.953 |
| White Blood Cell | 0.009 | 1.061 | 1.015 | 1.11 | 0.19 | 0.856 | 0.678 | 1.08 |
| Neutrophil | 0.001 | 1.09 | 1.036 | 1.148 | 0.17 | 1.187 | 0.929 | 1.516 |
| Basophil | 0.013 | 0.69 | 0.516 | 0.924 | 0.692 | 0.166 | 0 | 1182.128 |
| MCV | 0.012 | 1.035 | 1.008 | 1.063 | 0.079 | 1.187 | 0.98 | 1.438 |
| Platelet | 0.11 | 0.998 | 0.996 | 1 | | | | |
| RDW CW | 0.01 | 1.191 | 1.042 | 1.362 | 0.11 | 2.339 | 0.825 | 6.636 |
| RDW SD | 0 | 1.093 | 1.045 | 1.142 | 0.174 | 0.805 | 0.588 | 1.1 |
| Albumin | 0 | 0.924 | 0.888 | 0.961 | 0.552 | 1.02 | 0.955 | 1.09 |
| C-reactive protein | 0.004 | 1.004 | 1.001 | 1,006 | 0.813 | 1.001 | 0.996 | 1.005 |
| E-GFR | 0 | 0.972 | 0.963 | 0.981 | 0.373 | 0.992 | 0.974 | 1.01 |
| Creatinine | 0 | 1.753 | 1.28 | 2.4 | 0.125 | 1.625 | 0.874 | 3.02 |
| Lactate Dehydrogenase | 0.003 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.003 | 0.293 | 1.001 | 0.999 | 1.003 |
| Potassium | 0.006 | 1.527 | 1.126 | 2.07 | 0.585 | 0.845 | 0.462 | 1.546 |
| Direct Bilirubin | 0 | 25.301 | 6.403 | 99.968 | 0.024 | 6.821 | 1.282 | 36.285 |
| Urea | 0 | 1.02 | 1.012 | 1.027 | 0.212 | 0,.99 | 0.975 | 1.006 |
| D DİMER | 0.003 | 1 | 1 | 1.001 | 0.194 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| рН | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.48 | 0.436 | 0.044 | 4.362 |
| Ionized Calcium | 0.016 | 15.178 | 1.658 | 138.938 | 0.035 | 30.524 | 1.262 | 738.335 |
| Lactate | 0 | 1.445 | 1.175 | 1.778 | 0.372 | 1.126 | 0.868 | 1.459 |
| Bicarbonate (HCO3 ACT) | 0 | 0.829 | 0.771 | 0.891 | 0.799 | 0.97 | 0.767 | 1.227 |
| Base Exercise | 0 | 0.872 | 0.825 | 0.923 | 0.757 | 0.971 | 0.806 | 1.17 |

Age, gender, presence of comorbidity, oxygen saturation, count of blood cells, and all biochemistry parameters were evaluated with Univariate analysis.

Including P values considered statistically significant (P < 0.05) OR: odds ratio MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume, E-GFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, RDW CW: Red Cell Distribution Width - Coefficient of Variation, RDW SD: Red Cell Distribution Width - Standard Deviation

ANOVA tests were used for repeated measurements on the 1st and 5th days to compare the laboratory data of COVID-19 patients treated and followed at least 5 days length of after admission ICU. In this analysis,

1st and 5th-day measurements were taken as within-subjects, and two different (survivors vs deceased) patient groups were taken as between-subjects. p<0.05 was taken as the statistical significance level. From all parameters; within subjects(*), between subjects(**), Dbil (p:0.054, p:0), Tbil (p:0.106, p:0.041), AST (p:0.064, p:0.009) and creatinine (p:0.7, p:0.005)(Table 3). In spite of the fact that the model parameter values on the 1st and 5th days did not differ statistically significantly, the values in the deceased's group increased, while those in the survivors' group decreased (Table III). Elevated AST levels during admission to the ICU had more statistical significance than ALT levels on the 5th day of admission (p=0.013). Elevated ALT levels did not have a statistically significant difference during admission to the ICU and on the 5th day of admission (p=0.899).

Table III: Variance in repeated measures As measurements within subjects (*), between subjects (survivors vs deceased**).

| | | Total (n:560) | Survivors (n:266) | Deceased (n:294) | p** | | | Total (n:560) | Survivors (n:266) | Deceased (n:294) | p** |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|---|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | r | | | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | r |
| White Blood Cell | 1. | 0.04.5.14 | 0.05.4.44 | 0.00.5.00 | | | 1. | 50.04.05.00 | 51.00.05.54 | 50.00.05.00 | |
| (4.000- 10.000/mm3) | Day | 9.04±5.11 | 8.25±4.64 | 9.38±5.28 | | E-GFR | Day | 59.34±27.88 | 71.99±27.76 | 53.39±25.92 | |
| | 5. Day | 11.41±6.34 | 8.68±4.71 | 12.65±6.60 | 0 | | 5. Day | 61.37±28.60 | 78.38±18.98 | 53.38±28.89 | 0.01 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.01 | | | |
| Neutrophil (2.000- 7.000/mm3) | 1. Day | 7.44±4.57 | 6.52±3.68 | 7.86±4.86 | | Blood Glucose | 1. Day | 168.21±92.05 | 157.66±87.78 | 172.98±93.67 | |
| | 5. Day | 9.74±5.46 | 6.88±3.42 | 11.03±5.72 | 0 | | 5. Day | 157.19±85.49 | 136.96±68.40 | 166.34±90.82 | 0.17 7 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.009 | | | |
| Lymphocytes (800- 4000/mm3) | 1. Day | 1.16±1.97 | 1.33±2.59 | 1.08±1.62 | | Chlorine | 1. Day | 102.91±5.48 | 102.46±5.36 | 103.11±5.53 | |
| | 5. Day | 1.15±2.66 | 1.29±3.06 | 1.09±2.46 | 0.68 | | 5. Day | 107.50±10.24 | 104.40±5.15 | 108.90±11.58 | 0.00 1 |
| | p* | 0.814 | | | | | p* | 0 | | | |
| Monocytes | 1. Day | 0.40±0.22 | 0,38±0.19 | 0.41±0.24 | | Creatinine (0.72-1.25 mg/dL) | 1. Day | 1.47±1.39 | 1.08±0.56 | 1.65±1.60 | |
| | 5. Day | 0.44±0.30 | 0,43±0.24 | 0.44±0.33 | 0,58 7 | | 5. Day | 1.51±1.46 | 0.89±0.53 | 1.79±1.65 | 0.00 5 |
| | p* | 0.014 | | | | | p* | 0.7 | | | |
| Eosinophil | 1. Day | 0.01±0.05 | 0.02±0.05 | 0.01±0.06 | | Creatine Kinase | 1. Day | 458.69±204.,5 3 | 409.33±2449. 47 | 481.26±1839. 14 | |
| | 5. Day | 0.03±0.08 | 0.05±0.09 | 0.02±0.07 | 0.03 6 | | 5. Day | 279.05±541.0 8 | 122.66±251.7 7 | 350.53±617.9 4 | 0.46 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.048 | | | |
| Basophile | 1. Day | 0.02±0.03 | 0.01±0.01 | 0.02±0.03 | 0.06 | Lactate Dehydrogena se (135-225 U/l) | 1. Day | 432.23±284.9 5 | 393.85±212.3 7 | 449.84±311.4 1 | 0.00 |
| | 5. Day | 0.04±0.04 | 0.03±0.02 | 0.04±0.04 | , | | 5. Day | 724.96±1020. 18 | 439.71±189.6 6 | 855.79±12036 2 | Ť |

| | | | | | 1 | · · · · · · | r – | | | | f |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0 | | | |
| Hemoglobin (11-16 gr/dl) | 1. Day | 13.07±1.99 | 13.34±2.07 | 12.95±1.95 | | Potassium (3,5-5,1 mmol/L) | 1. Day | 4.21±0.68 | 4.09±0.57 | 4.27±0.73 | |
| | 5. Day | 12.08±1.94 | 12.46±1.86 | 11.90±1.96 | 0.29 5 | | 5. Day | 4.23±0.77 | 4.09±0.60 | 4.29±0.82 | 0.80 6 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.833 | | | |
| Hematocrit (37- 54 %) | 1. Day | 41.12±5.95 | 41.83±5.99 | 40.80±5.91 | | Sodium (134- 146 mEq/L) | 1. Day | 136.63±5.54 | 136.63±5.06 | 136.63±5.75 | |
| | 5. Day | 38.82±5.65 | 39.50±4.96 | 38.52±5.92 | 0.90 8 | | 5. Day | 142.78±7.74 | 138.93±4.32 | 144.51±8.30 | 0 |
| | | 0 | | | | | p* | 0 | | | |
| MCV | 1. Day | 88.49±7.36 | 87.40±6.45 | 88.99±7.69 | | Indirect Bilirubin | 1. Day | 0.31±0.21 | 0.31±0.18 | 0.32±0.22 | |
| | 5. Day | 89.17±7.43 | 86.99±6.45 | 90.16±7.64 | 0 | | 5. Day | 1.16±12.53 | 0.30±0.18 | 1.55±15.12 | 0.34 7 |
| | p* | 0.021 | | | | | p* | 0.351 | | | |
| Platelet(150.00 0- 450.000/mm3) | 1. Day | 208.94±83.3 7 | 218.63±80.0 3 | 204.55±84.6 0 | | DirectBilirubi n (0- 0.3mg/dL) | 1. Day | 0.37±0.30 | 0.29±0.21 | 0.40±0.32 | |
| | 5. Day | 251.14±110. 14 | 282.32±117. 46 | 237.04±103. 83 | 0.00 1 | | 5. Day | 0.41±0.36 | 0.27±0.14 | 0.48±0.41 | 0 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.054 | | | |
| RDW-CW | 1. Day | 14.28±1.82 | 13.98±1.72 | 14.42±1.86 | | Total Bilirubin | 1. Day | 0.68±0.47 | 0.64±0.38 | 0.69±0.51 | |
| | 5. Day | 14.57±2.19 | 14.04±2.34 | 14.81±2.08 | 0.00 3 | | 5. Day | 0.73±0.54 | 0.63±0.33 | 0.78±0.60 | 0.04 1 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.106 | | | |
| RDW-SD | 1. Day | 46.96±6.01 | 45.45±5.78 | 47.64±6.00 | | Urea (16- 48mg/dl) | 1. Day | 57.96±43.24 | 42.31±29.98 | 65.07±46.40 | 0 |
| | 5. Day | 48.16±7.00 | 44.88±5.87 | 49.65±6.97 | 0 | | 5. Day | 72.02±52.76 | 41.05±28.15 | 86.08±55.31 | |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0 | | | |
| Albumin (34-48 g/L) | 1. Day | 30.69±5.10 | 31.93±5.55 | 30.12±4.78 | | рН | 1. Day | 7.38±0.16 | 7,40±0,06 | 7.37±0.19 | |
| | 5. Day | 25.60±4.45 | 27.43±4.63 | 24.77±4.11 | 0.07 8 | | 5. Day | 7.34±0.12 | 7.39±0.06 | 7.32±0.13 | 0.04 3 |
| | p* | 0 | | | | | p* | 0.004 | | | |
| Alanine Aminotransfera se (0-41 U/L) | 1. Day | 40.20±56.87 | 42.39±58.60 | 39.21±56.15 | | Ionised Calcium | 1. Day | 1.11±0.09 | 1,09±0.08 | 1.12±0.10 | |
| | 5. Day | 91.32±290.6 6 | 42.48±37.11 | 113.42±347. 34 | 0.01 3 | | 5. Day | 1.15±0.53 | 1.12±0.09 | 1.16±0.63 | 0.73 |
| | p* | 0.013 | | | | | p* | 0.28 | | | |
| Aspartate Aminotransfera se (0-40 U/L) | 1. Day | 63.66±192.2 9 | 61.61±148.3 7 | 64.59±209.3 8 | 0.00 9 | Lactate | 1. Day | 2.39±1,61 | 1,99±0,94 | 2,56±1,78 | 0.02 3 |

| | 5. Day | 134.40±457. 29 | 42.66±34.97 | 175.90±545. 83 | | | 5. Day | 2.87±2.53 | 1.95±0.83 | 3.24±2.87 | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | p* | 0.064 | | | | | p* | 0.045 | | | |
| C-reactive protein (0-5 mg/L) | 1. Day | 12.90±80.83 | 109.60±84.7 0 | 127.48±78.5 2 | | Bicarbonate (HCO3 ACT) | 1. Day | 22.14±3.51 | 23.63±3.21 | 21.55±3.45 | |
| | 5. Day | 112.45±81.6 5 | 79.48±62.08 | 127.42±85.1 0 | 0.00 3 | | 5. Day | 22.03±4.85 | 23.95±3.64 | 21.28±5.05 | 0.30 5 |
| | p* | 0.003 | | | | | p* | 0.938 | | | |
| Calcium (8.8- 10.6 mg/dl) | 1. Day | 8.79±0.62 | 8.80±0.58 | 8.79±0.64 | | Base Exercise | 1. Da y | -2.14±4.53 | -0.49±3.98 | -2.80±4.57 | |
| | 5. Da y | 8.73±0.60 | 8.81±0.57 | 8.69±0.61 | 0.15 2 | | 5. Day | -2.12±5.97 | -0.13±4.60 | -2.91±6.27 | 0.51 5 |
| | p* | 0.24 | | | | | p* | 0.724 | | | |

MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume, E-GFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, RDW CW: Red Cell Distribution Width - Coefficient of Variation, RDW SD: Red Cell Distribution Width - Standard Deviation

DISCUSSION

Several studies have found significant increases in fatalities, respiratory failure, and ICU admissions among hospitalized COVID-19 patients during the pandemic^{3,4,8,9}. The poor clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients who are identified early (such as mortality, intubation, ICU admission, etc.) can be reduced through effective, rapid treatment efforts. The clinical characteristics, risk factors, and laboratory parameters can be used to determine the severity of COVID-19.

This study identified useful parameters as independent predictors of mortality in COVID-19 patients at ICU admission. Among COVID-19 ICU patients hospitalized in the ICU, age, oxygen saturation, direct bilirubin, and ionized calcium were predictive of mortality. During ICU admission, these parameters significantly increased mortality risk; Spo2 (OR=1,096, 95%CI 1.049-1.145, p<0.001), advanced age (OR=1.035, 95%CI 1.013-1.058, p=0.002), direct bilirubin (OR=6.821, 95%CI 0.282p=0.024) and ionized calcium 36.285, (OR=30.524, 95%CI 1.262-738.34, p=0.035). During admission to the ICU and on the fifth day,

Dbil, Tbil, AST, and creatinine levels were found to be remarkable predictors of mortality.

SpO2 is a parameter that we use to evaluate respiratory functions and provides the clinician with a noninvasive measurement opportunity thanks to its correlation with partial arterial oxygenation. Studies have shown that advanced age and low oxygen saturation value increase ICU admission and mortality¹⁰⁻¹¹. SpO2 has been found to be an important predictor of COVID-19 severity in another study¹². In line with the literature, we found that mortality was more likely to occur in older adults and those with low Spo2.

Several viral infections are associated with changes in serum ionized calcium levels according to Crespi et al¹³. As a result of COVID-19, serum calcium levels decrease. The presence of hypocalcemia was associated with a longer hospital stay (especially in the ICU) and higher mortality rates in studies of COVID-19¹³⁻¹⁵. Cappellini et al¹⁶ found that the total and ionized calcium levels of patients with COVID-19 were lower, arguing that this was a strategy developed by cells so that the virus would not utilize calcium. In severe COVID-19 patients,

Crespi et al¹⁴ suggest that ionized hypocalcemia is a host defense as a result of pathogen adaptation. Based on our findings, we believe that deceased patients are unable to develop their host defense mechanisms as a result of their adaptation to pathogens. In accordance with the literature, we found that ionized calcium levels were statistically significantly higher in deceased patients than in survivors. The deceased and survivors showed no significant difference in total calcium levels, but the survivors had lower ionized calcium levels.

A large multicenter retrospective study by Fu et al¹⁷ found elevated liver biochemistry levels in COVID-19 patients. It was also recommended that abnormal total bilirubin at admission is associated with poor prognosis¹⁷. Among bile duct cells, type II alveolar epithelial cells, and ACE-2-expressing bile duct cells, Wentao et al¹⁸ reported that ACE2 significantly affects COVID-19 infection. In recent studies on COVID-19, it was realized that expression of ACE2 in cholangiocytes can directly damage the bile ducts and cause a potent mechanism of infection by the virus by using ACE2 as a receptor. It has also been suggested that high total bilirubin may be associated with bile duct cell damage rather than direct hepatic cell damage caused by SARS-CoV-219,20.

As an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and other vital physiological properties, bilirubin is widely recognized as a preventive bioactive particle^{21,22}. A recent study found that bilirubin not only defends against inflammation but also has potent antiviral properties that may be useful in fighting COVID-1923. According to Patel et al²⁴, elevated serum bilirubin levels were associated with poor outcomes, such as in death. septic patients. Researchers discovered that the prognosis of septic patients was dependent on direct bilirubin rather than total bilirubin. Additionally, direct bilirubin has a better predictive value than total bilirubin in patients with septicemia²⁵. In this study, we

determined that direct bilirubin and total bilirubin increase the risk of mortality and that direct bilirubin can also be used as a prognostic predictor in the follow-up process. The direct bilirubin levels during admission to the ICU and on the fifth day were statistically significant parameters. This revealed the importance of direct bilirubin both during admission and follow-up. In addition, although total bilirubin was not detected as a mortality predictor during admission, and also stands out in clinical followup in this study.Bilirubin, an indicator of mortality, can be a useful tool for predicting death from COVID-19 pneumonia, according to this study, which is relevant to the literature.

A common side effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection is liver damage. The damage may have been caused by three different factors, including; direct cytopathic effect on hepatocytes, hypoxic damage caused by the disease, and hepatocyte damage caused by drugs used in treatment¹⁸. This study found that elevated AST levels were statistically significant during admission to the ICU, whereas elevated ALT levels had no significant statistically difference during admission and 5th-day measurements. While AST levels declined in survivors, they increased in the deceased group during follow-ups. It shows that AST levels can be used to predict prognoses. In a study by Wisniewska et al²⁶ AST levels were found to be remarkably higher in severe COVID-19 patients, but no difference was found in ALT levels. They suggested that liver injury is secondary to inflammatory response and hypoxia rather than viral injury and that the contribution of AST from sources other than the liver, particularly muscle, should also be considered. As Fang et al²⁷ noted in their study, early elevated AST levels were associated with indicators of disease severity, suggesting that immune-mediated inflammation might be crucial to liver impairment in COVID-19 patients. Since AST levels were statistically significant during admission, decreased in the surviving group, and increased in the deceased group in our study, we found that AST levels are more useful than ALT levels.

COVID-19, classified as a severe respiratory disease, exerts its effects on multiple organ systems, including the heart, brain, vessel endothelium, and kidneys²⁸. Patient prognosis was efficiently predicted by creatinine levels in COVID-19^{28,29}. According to a meta-analysis by Kazemi et al²⁹, it indicates a direct correlation between the severity of COVID-19 and the levels of creatinine. According to a meta-analysis, creatinine levels are remarkably related to raised disease severity and might be a useful prognostic factor³⁰. Consistent with existing literature, our study identified a significant correlation between disease severity and creatinine levels. Notably, the creatinine levels during ICU admission and on the fifth day did not exhibit statistically significant differences among patients. However, a highly significant disparity in creatinine levels was observed between survivors and deceased patients.

As a result of considering risk factors, clinical features, and serological tests, it is possible to identify risk factors associated with fatal outcomes. In addition, it is possible to identify severe COVID-19 patients early and improve COVID-19 patients' outcomes. Studies in the literature may not be able to examine all possible risk factors comprehensively. Each study considers a different number and type of risk factors. As a result of these disadvantages, we used multivariate analysis of patient data with models in our study, and we found that ionized calcium, oxygen saturation, and direct bilirubin were independent predictors of mortality.

Limitations

The study had some limitations. Retrospective research was conducted in a single ICU with a relatively small group of patients. However, imaging features and ICU treatments for COVID-19 lung involvement were not recorded, along with risk factors, clinical features, and serological tests. It is estimated that new information and articles on COVID-19 ICU mortality are published almost daily; therefore, the results of our study cannot be regarded as comprehensive. To confirm the reliability of the independent prediction parameters for fatality in COVID-19 patients, large-scale, long-term, and prospective studies are needed.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that low saturation, advanced age, high direct bilirubin, and high ionized calcium levels are associated with higher mortality rates during ICU admission. Additionally, it found that direct bilirubin, total bilirubin, AST, and creatinine parameters could be used as mortality predictors during the ICU follow-up period.

Ethics Committee Approval:The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical board of a tertiary hospital (Date: 31 December 2021, Decision No: 967).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard | WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data. Accessed 2023 October 5. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/

2. Word Health Organization. EG.5 Initial Risk Evaluation,9 August 2023. Accessed 2023 October 5. Available: https://www.who.int/docs/default-

source/coronaviruse/09082023eg.5_ire_final.pdf?sfvrsn =2aa2daee_1

3. Adjei S, Hong K, Molinari NM, et al. Mortality Risk Among Patients Hospitalized Primarily for COVID-19 During the Omicron and Delta Variant Pandemic Periods - United States, April 2020-June 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2022; 71(37):1182-9.

4. Zanella A, Florio G, Antonelli M, et al. Time course of risk factors associated with mortality of 1260 critically ill patients with COVID-19 admitted to 24 Italian intensive care units. Intensive Care Med. 2021; 47(9):995-1008.

5. Silverio A, Di Maio M, Citro R, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis of 45 studies and 18,300 patients. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2021;21(1):23. 6. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-62.

7. Dessie ZG, Zewotir T. Mortality-related risk factors of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 42 studies and 423,117 patients. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):855.

8. Armstrong RA, Kane AD, Kursumovic E, Oglesby FC, Cook TM. Mortality in patients admitted to intensive care with COVID-19: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies. Anaesthesia. 2021; 76(4):537-48.

9. Armstrong RA, Kane AD, Cook TM. Decreasing mortality rates in ICU during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anaesthesia. 2021:76 (3):10.

10. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020; 369:m1966.

11. Zhao Z, Chen A, Hou W, et al. Prediction model and risk scores of ICU admission and mortality in COVID-19. PLoS One. 2020; 15(7): e0236618

12. Li X, Marmar T, Xu Q, et al. Predictive indicators of severe COVID-19 independent of comorbidities and advanced age: a nested case-control study. Epidemiol Infect. 2020; 148: e255.

13. Crespi B, Alcock J. Conflicts over calcium and the treatment of covid-19. Evol Med Public Heal. 2020; 2019:149–56.

14. Martha JW, Wibowo A, Pranata R. Hypocalcemia is associated with severe COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2021; 15(1):337–42.

15. Di Filippo L, Formenti AM, Rovere-Querini P, et al. Hypocalcemia is highly prevalent and predicts hospitalization in patients with COVID-19. Endocrine. 2020; 68(3): 475-8.

16. Cappellini F, Brivio R, Casati M, et al. Low levels of total and ionized calcium in blood of COVID-19 patients. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020; 58(9): e171–3.

17. Fu Y, Zhu R, Bai T, et al. Clinical Features of COVID-19-Infected Patients With Elevated Liver Biochemistries: A Multicenter, Retrospective Study. Hepatology. 2021; 73(4):1509-20.

18. Ni W, Yang X, Yang D, et al. Role of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in COVID-19. Crit Care. 2020; 24(1): 422. 19. Zhao Y, Zhao Z, Wang Y, et al. Single-Cell RNA Expression Profiling of ACE2, the Receptor of SARS-CoV-2. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021; 203(6):782.

20. Zhang H, Kang Z, Gong H, et al. The digestive system is a potential route of 2019-nCov infection: a bioinformatics analysis based on single-cell transcriptomes. Gut 2020; 69:1010-18.

21. Huang FY, Peng Y, Huang BT, et al. The correlation between serum total bilirubin and outcomes in patients with different subtypes of coronary artery disease. Clin Chim Acta. 2017; 465: 101–5.

22. Boon AC, Bulmer AC, Coombes JS, Fassett RG. Circulating bilirubin and defense against kidney disease and cardiovascular mortality: Mechanisms contributing to protection in clinical investigations. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2014 ; 307(2): 123-36.

23. Wagener FADTG, Pickkers P, Peterson SJ, Immenschuh S, Abraham NG. Targeting the Heme-Heme Oxygenase System to Prevent Severe Complications Following COVID-19 Infections. Antioxidants. 2020; 9(6): 540.

24. Patel JJ, Taneja A, Niccum D, et al. The association of serum bilirubin levels on the outcomes of severe sepsis. J Intensive Care Med. 2015; 30(1): 23–9.

25. Wu Y, Ren J, Wang G, et al. Direct bilirubin as a prognostic biomarker in enteric fistula patients complicated with sepsis: A case-control study. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014; 7(12): 5134–45.

26. Wiśniewska H, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Parczewski M, et al. Hepatotropic Properties of SARS-CoV-2-Preliminary Results of Cross-Sectional Observational Study from the First Wave COVID-19 Pandemic. J Clin Med. 2021; 10(4): 672.

27. Lei F, Liu YM, Zhou F, et al. Longitudinal Association Between Markers of Liver Injury and Mortality in COVID-19 in China. Hepatology. 2020; 72(2): 389–98.

28. Wu J, Shi L, Zhang P, Wang Y, Yang H. Is creatinine an independent risk factor for predicting adverse outcomes in COVID-19 patients? Transpl Infect Dis. 2021 ; 23(2): e13539

29. Kazemi E, Soldoozi Nejat R, Ashkan F, Sheibani H. The laboratory findings and different COVID-19 severities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2021; 20(1): 17.

30. Danwang C, Endomba FT, Nkeck JR, et al. A metaanalysis of potential biomarkers associated with severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Biomark Res. 2020; 8(1): 37.